

MINUTES

GLYNN COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

October 7, 1997

MEMBERS PRESENT: Richard Altman
Lamar Cole
Hal Hart
Iris Touw
Jonathan Williams

ABSENT: Wayne Stewart, Chairman
Glenda Jones, Vice Chairman

STAFF PRESENT: Ron Milburn, Planning Official
Dick Newbern, Planner
Deborah Taylor, Zoning Administrator
Jim Bruner, Acting County Engineer
Janet Loving, Administrative Secretary

In the absence of the chairman and vice chairman, staff contacted the County Attorney's office for legal direction. Attorney Gary Moore (via telephone) advised that the Planning Commission members could elect a chairman for the day and proceed with the meeting. Upon a motion made by Mr. Hal Hart and seconded by Mr. Lamar Cole, Mr. Richard Altman was elected Chairman Pro-Tem. The motion was unanimously adopted.

Attorney Jim Gilbert stated that in his opinion, this procedure is not legal. He stated that it is not covered in the Planning Commission By-Laws and therefore he is opposed to it. He then requested a deferral of his items. (Item #8; GC-26-97 and Item #10; GC-29-97) The Planning Commission respected his decision for a deferral. Thereupon, a motion was made by Mr. Hal Hart to defer Item #8 and Item #10. The motion was seconded by Mr. Jonathan Williams and unanimously adopted.

At this time, Chairman Pro-Tem Richard Altman called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. and the invocation was given, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance. He apologized for the delay and stated that if no one else had objections, he would proceed with the meeting. He then gave a brief recap of the rules, voting procedure and audience participation in discussing agenda items.

**Dunbar Cove, Revision
Located off Sea Palms West
Drive, St. Simons Island
Zoned Planned Development-General**

**Watson and Gold, Owners
James F. Watson, Applicant**

Mr. James Watson was present for discussion.

Mr. Dick Newbern explained that the applicant wants to combine Tracts B and C to even up the setback lines. He stated that two encroachments have been removed to accommodate this revision. Mr. Newbern stated that staff recommends approval of this request.

Following review, a motion was made by Mr. Hal Hart to approve this request. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Iris Touw and unanimously adopted.

**Re-Subdivision of Lots 1,2,3
and a portion of Lot 4,Block 21
Glynn Haven Subdivision, located
on the south side of Palmetto
Avenue off Frederica Road, SSI
Zoned R-6 One-Family Residential**

**Joy N. McMillan, Owner
Douglas Taylor, Applicant**

Mr. Douglas Taylor was present for discussion.

Mr. Newbern explained that the applicant would like to subdivide three lots in Glynn Haven to accommodate Lot 4 for a larger lot area. He stated staff recommends approval of this request.

Mr. Douglas Taylor stated that the lots are 30 ft. wide and are lots-of-record. He stated he wants to create a bigger lot in order to build a bigger house. Mr. Taylor stated that this would be a win-win situation for the owner and neighbors.

Following review, a motion was made by Mrs. Iris Touw to approve this request. The motion was seconded by Mr. Jonathan Williams and unanimously adopted.

**Preliminary Plat
Ternest Acres, 10 acre tract,
located on the east side of Post Road,
approximately 1.4 miles south of U.S.
Highway 82, Zoned Forest Agricultural**

Buddy Ternest, Owner/Developer

Mr. Ralph Lackey was present for discussion.

Mr. Newbern presented the staff's report. He stated that the developer is proposing to build Ternest Lane and subdivide 7 lots. Ternest Lane measures 2,019.06 lin. ft., which exceeds the 2,000 lin. ft. limitation by 19.06 ft. The developer is requesting that a variance be granted to accommodate the additional footage. All other subdivision requirements regarding lot size, frontage, and setbacks have been met. The development will have access only to Post Road by the subject road.

Mr. Newbern pointed out that the 7 lots all exceed the minimum lot size of 20,000 sq. ft. to allow for residential development. He stated that a 10 ft. utility easement will be dedicated along the lot side of all road rights-of-way. The development includes a 25 ft. buffer around the designated wetlands, as required by the Department of Natural Resources. Necessary wetland reviews have been conducted. With the exception of the road fill where Ternest Lane crosses the wetlands, the wetlands will not be disturbed.

Mr. Newbern stated that staff recommends approval of the Preliminary Plat for Ternest Acres, and the variance for Ternest Lane.

Following review, a motion was made by Mr. Lamar Cole to approve this request. The motion was seconded by Mr. Hal Hart and unanimously adopted.

**Preliminary Plat
Center Drive (road approval only)
Paved private road, located off
Scranton Road, Zoned Highway Commercial**

Center Square, LTD, Owner/Developer

Mr. Don Hutchinson was present for discussion.

Mr. Newbern presented the staff's report. He stated that this is a road extension of a private road built to county specifications. The developer is proposing to extend Center Drive into a temporary cul-de-sac just beyond the new "Kids R Kids" daycare center that will be located on the John Harry Jones Tract. Center Drive is presently only developed and paved just beyond Jameson Inns.

Mr. Newbern stated that this application is to extend the pavement approximately 250 ft. and end in a temporary cul-de-sac that will be used as an access for future development. Water & sewer services are provided by Glynn County and lay in the 60 ft. right-of-way.

Mr. Newbern explained that the temporary cul-de-sac is surrounded by property zoned Highway Commercial. The developer will have to seek another preliminary plat approval to extend the road any further than the temporary cul-de-sac. Any extension on Center Drive will have to meet the 60 ft. right-of-way requirement.

Mr. Newbern pointed out that the County Engineer has determined that the existing ingress/egress from Scranton Road onto Center Drive is acceptable. This application has also passed the scrutiny of Planning and Zoning, the Fire Department, Building Inspections, and County Water & Sewer. Mr. Newbern stated that staff recommends approval with the following condition:

1. The final plat should also indicate that any extension of Center Drive will require another preliminary plat application, and a 60 ft. right-of-way will be required.

Following review, a motion was made by Mr. Jonathan Williams to approve this request. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lamar Cole and unanimously adopted.

Site Plan
Demere Village Medical Center/Offices
Located on the south side of Demere
Road, St. Simons, zoned Planned
Development-General

Demere Holdings, L.L.C., Owner/Developer

Mr. Bill Watson was present for discussion.

Mr. Newbern presented the staff's report. He stated that the applicant is proposing to construct a medical/professional office building on Tract III of the Demere Village Planned Development. The proposed use of the property for medical and professional offices is permitted.

Mr. Newbern stated that the development will consist of three attached structures. The middle building will be one-story. Building A, with two-stories, will be used as general medical offices. Building B, with one-story, will be used as dental offices, and Building C, with two-stories, will be used as dental and general medical offices. The buildings will be designed to blend with the stucco and tile roof buildings that exist in the other areas of Demere Village. Mr. Newbern stated that the total floor area of the buildings will be 8,680 sq.ft. The

total building footprint will be 13,063 sq. ft., including entrances and stairs. The total site coverage including parking, walkways, and buildings will not exceed 80%.

Mr. Newbern pointed out that buffering is provided along the south boundary of the site. Currently, there is substantial natural vegetation between the site and adjoining residential property to the west and south. In addition to the natural buffer the developer intends to install a 6 ft. privacy fence along the south perimeter of the site.

The parking for the site was installed during the first construction phase for the other buildings within the Planned Development. The parking requirement for Tract III is one space for each 275 sq. ft. of net floor area. Based on the building square footage, 68 parking spaces must be provided. The site currently contains 86 parking spaces, which is more than adequate.

Final approval of the site plan has been received from the following reviewing departments: Building Inspections, Engineering, Fire Department, Planning Division, Public Works, and Water & Sewer.

Mr. Newbern stated that the proposed development adheres to the requirements outlined in the Planned Development zoning text, and therefore staff recommends approval of the Demere Village Medical Center.

Mrs. Iris Touw wanted to know why this application did not come back as a renewal. Mrs. Taylor explained that the applicant was not aware of the expiration until he came in for a building permit.

Following discussion, a motion was made by Mrs. Iris Touw to approve this request. The motion was seconded by Mr. Hal Hart and unanimously adopted.

Site Plan
St. Simons Gates Condos
43 Unit Condominium Development
5.867 acres, zoned Medium
Residential, located on the corner
of Demere Road and Brockinton Dr.
Zoned Medium Residential

Consolidated Investments &
Developments, Inc., Owner/Developer

Mr. Jim Benefield was present for discussion.

Mr. Newbern presented the staff's report. He stated that this is a proposed 43-unit condominium development located on the north side of Demere Road between Brockinton Drive and LaCosta Lane. This is a complex case involving a previous site plan, a Consent Decree, a serious wetland issue, and traffic signalization requirements. The present

project meets the requirements for access, setbacks and parking in the Medium Residential Zoning District. The development will be accessed from Brockinton Drive, approximately 100 ft. from the intersection with Demere Road.

Mr. Newbern explained that in early 1995, Consolidated Investments and Developments, Inc. proposed a high-density Site Plan with 94 units. At that time, the developer needed a setback variance because several condominiums were to be located very close to LaCosta Lane. The developer had also sued the county to prevent the paving of LaCosta Lane because of a disagreement over where the actual lane right-of-way existed.

Mr. Newbern stated that the Consent Decree resulting from this litigation was signed on 11/4/96. It specified that the right-of-way and paving of LaCosta Lane, and the terms of the setback variance hearing before the Zoning Board of Appeals be followed. Mr. Newbern stated that the issues regarding the right-of-way and paving of LaCosta Lane have been satisfied. However, the setback variance hearing held on 2/21/95 by the Zoning Board of Appeals affected the interests of St. Paul's Baptist Church and the adjacent neighbors.

The hearing resulted in an action by the Zoning Board of Appeals to keep vacant a .85 acre tract located west of the church. The Board required that a deed restriction be placed on the .85 acres to prevent future development on the property. The Board also stated that the developer should resolve any differences that the church and neighbors may have with the development.

Mr. Newbern pointed out that the current lower-density development requires no setback variance. However, the developer has expressed an interest to agree to the spirit of the 2/21/95 Zoning Board of Appeals variance action. The developer and the County Attorney are proposing that development on the .85 acres tract be prevented with a "scenic easement," rather than a deed restriction. A "scenic easement" can be in effect longer than the 20-year duration of a deed restriction. The developer has stated that he has notified the church and the neighboring residents regarding the new lower-density development.

Regarding the wetland issue, Mr. Newbern stated that the GA Department of Natural Resources office is requiring that a 25 ft. buffer be located between the condo units and the unfilled wetlands located on-site. Because 16 of the 43 condo units abut the wetlands, the 25 ft. buffer requirement will cause a redesign of much of this site plan. Accordingly, the developer has applied to GA DNR for a variance to the 25 ft. buffer requirement.

Mr. Newbern pointed out that if the variance is granted, the existing site plan could remain intact. If the variance is denied, the current site plan would have to be significantly changed. DNR is expected to act on the variance request in late October.

Regarding the traffic signalization issue, Mr. Newbern explained that the Engineering Department has determined that the additional traffic caused by this development will probably require a traffic signal and/or lane improvements at Demere/Brockinton.

Mr. Newbern stated that staff recommends consideration of the site plan for St. Simons Gates Condos with the following conditions to be referenced:

1. A variance to the 25 ft. wetland buffer requirement be approved and received from the GA DNR.
2. A "scenic easement" restricting the development of the .85 acre trace located to the west of the St. Paul's Baptist Church should be recorded. Such easement should meet the requirements of the County Attorney.
3. The developer should notify the church and the neighbors concerning the new development and address any requests or concerns that the church or neighbors may have.
4. The developer should contribute a proportionate share of the cost of signalization and/or lane improvements at Demere/Brockinton intersection. Such proportionate share shall be determined by the County Engineers office with input from the developer.

Mr. Newbern distributed a letter from the County Attorney regarding a "scenic easement" for the Planning Commission's review.

Attorney Jim Benefield, representing the property owner/applicant, gave a brief presentation. He explained that the applicant reduced the number of units to 43, and is asking for approval of this request subject to the wetlands issue being resolved.

Mrs. Ruthie Cobb, adjacent property owner (LaCosta Lane), stated that she is not opposed to this request, but she is concerned that the owners have not kept their word. She explained that LaCosta Lane was not to serve as an access road; however, some residents of Brockinton are using LaCosta Lane to access their property, and this disturbs her. Mrs. Cobb stated that she wants the owners to comply with what they promised.

Mrs. Ruby Jackson, adjacent property owner (LaCosta Lane), stated she is concerned that the property owners were not notified of this request. Mrs. Taylor explained that according to the ordinance, property owners are not required to be notified of site plans. Mrs. Jackson also expressed concerns about Brockinton residents accessing LaCosta Lane. She stated there are small children in the area and she's concerned about their safety as well.

Mrs. Berthenia Gibson, St. Simons resident, was present on behalf of St. Paul's Baptist Church located on Demere Road. She expressed concerns about the "scenic easement." She stated she is not sure which would be best, the scenic easement or a deed. She further stated that she advised Mr. Hollington that the citizens of LaCosta Lane

were not happy with this proposal. She stated that the drainage ditch is not in place, the residents of Brockinton are using LaCosta to access their property and in the process, have destroyed a resident's shrubbery's. She pointed out that Mr. Hollington stated he would put up a fence but this has not been done. Mrs. Gibson stated that since this has gone through the courts, she feels that all adjacent property owners should have been notified of this request. She also expressed concerns about the dumpster site being added.

Mr. Benefield explained that the courts determined that LaCosta Lane was a public road and therefore it was paved; however, Mr. Hollington is not responsible for someone jumping the fence. He doesn't encourage this, but there isn't anything he can do about it. Mr. Benefield feels that the residents should notify the police.

Mrs. Touw stated that the residents are not against this development, but they are concerned about certain aspects. She stated that the site plan does not meet the requirements of DNR. Mrs. Touw asked if all other departments signed off on this application. Mr. Newbern replied yes.

Mrs. Touw stated that she feels strongly about the DNR requirements being met. She stated that the Planning Commission should wait and give DNR time to review this request. Thereupon, a motion was made by Mrs. Touw to defer this request until after DNR's review/approval. The motion was seconded by Mr. Hal Hart and unanimously adopted.

SUP-3-97

Request for a Special Use Permit for a restaurant in the General Commercial Zoning District located within the St. Simons Village Preservation District. Business to be known as "Hole In One Bagels," located in an existing building with the portion being utilized consisting of approximately 1,168 sq. ft. Subject property located on the southwest corner of Neptune Avenue and Ocean Boulevard.

**William Downey and J. Thomas Whelchel, Property Owners
Peter and Jamie Kendall, Business Owners**

Mr. Peter Kendall was present for discussion.

Mr. Ron Milburn presented the staff's report. He stated that this request is for a special use permit to allow a restaurant in a General Commercial Zoning District, located within the St. Simons Village Preservation District. The restaurant is to be known as "Hole In One Bagels." Mr. Milburn explained that according to the Glynn County Zoning Ordinance, restaurants located within this district, having patron space no larger than 1,200 sq. ft. and 32 person seating capacity, will be allowed as a special use only when parking spaces on site are provided to meet the total number of spaces required.

Mr. Milburn stated that an exterior concept plan has been submitted, which shows the break-down of existing 16 off-street parking spaces that are provided and required for the entire building. It also shows the building dimensions, setbacks, drive-thru serving window, improvements on the property (gas pumps and dumpster), existing access drives from Ocean Boulevard and Neptune Avenue, and the buffering/screen between the commercial activity and the adjacent residential properties.

Mr. Milburn pointed out that the restaurant/bagel shop hours of operation will be Monday thru Friday 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Saturday and Sunday from 7:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Mr. Milburn stated that according to the Ordinance, if an applicant has met the criteria for a special use permit for a restaurant in the General Commercial District, the Glynn County Board of Commissioners has the right to place certain provisions on the SUP to control the use of excessive or disruptive lighting and sound system. The Commission has the right to control outside patio and deck serving areas, location of dumpsters and waste refuse containers and require protective screening and buffering from adjoining properties. According to the concept plan and photographs, the dumpster (6'2" X 6'0") is located in the northwest corner of the property with no buffering, and located to the rear of the property.

An interior plan was also submitted, which shows the serving counter, office, kitchen work area, bathroom, workspace, and proposed dining area. The dining area will consist of 234 sq. ft. four tables, a total seating capacity for 16 people. Mr. Milburn stated that staff recommends approval of this request.

Following discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Lamar Cole to recommend approval of this request with a maximum of 4 tables. The motion was seconded by Mr. Jonathan Williams and unanimously adopted.

GC-28-97

Request to rezone from Conservation Preservation to R-9 One-Family Residential, 9,047 sq. ft. lot fronting 91 ft. on the south side of River View Drive, shown as Lot 13A on a minor plat - "Revision of a portion of River View Park Lots 13 & 13A," recorded in 1988

Property owned by Charles N. and Norma J. Yawn

Mrs. Norma Yawn was present for discussion.

Mr. Milburn presented the staff's report. He stated that the subject property, as well as adjacent property, was originally shown on a plat of Riverview Subdivision and as "Reserved Area." The lot being requested for rezoned at this time was created in 1982 by minor plat "Redivision of a portion of River View Park Subdivision Lots 13 & 13A."

Mr. Milburn pointed out that the property owners have stated that when the plat was approved in 1982, it was their understanding that the lot was a buildable lot for a residential structure. Recently, it was brought to the owners attention that the subject property is zoned Conservation Preservation and has been in this zoning classification since 1966. The survey submitted shows that the lot consists of adequate square footage of highland (which has been approved by DNR), and meets the lot width requirements for a residential lot.

Mr. Milburn stated that in order to further evaluate this request, the following matters were considered in developing staff's recommendation:

- **Whether the zoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby property;**

Yes, it would be an extension of the existing residential district.

- **Whether the zoning proposal will adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property;**

No, since it would be in the same zoning classification as the other residential lots.

- **Whether the property to be affected by the zoning proposal has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned;**

As Conservation Preservation, the lot is not buildable; it does not have a reasonable economic use.

- **Whether the zoning proposal will result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools;**

No, not one additional lot.

- **Whether the zoning proposal is in conformance with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan;**

Yes, low-density residential.

- **Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approval or disapproval;**

No.

Mr. Milburn stated that staff recommends approval of this request.

Following discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Lamar Cole to recommend approval of this request. The motion was seconded by Mr. Jonathan Williams and unanimously adopted.

GC-30-97

Request to rezone 182.4276 acres, a portion of Hermitage Island and Little Hermitage Island, located on the south side of Oak Grove Road, from Basic Industrial to Forest Agricultural as follows:

Tract A - 140.4907 acres, portion of Hermitage Island

Tract C - 41.93 acres, Little Hermitage Island

Property owned by Driggers Construction Co.
and Little Hermitage Island, Inc.

Mr. Harry Driggers and Mr. Ralph Lackey were present for discussion.

Mr. Milburn presented the staff's report. He stated that this request is to rezone a portion of Hermitage Island and Little Hermitage Island. The subject property is currently zoned Basic Industrial and is being requested to be rezoned to Forest Agricultural in order allow single-family residential homes. This rezoning request is considered a down-zoning.

Mr. Milburn explained that the property is surrounded by Conservation Preservation (marshes and creeks), with Forest Agricultural Zoning being located to the west. The area along Old Grove Road consists of a mixture of uses, i.e., single-family homes, mobile homes, manufactured homes, a mobile home park, and a residential community development allowing single-family, multi family, golf course and boating facilities (Oak Grove Plantation).

Mr. Milburn stated that if the subject property is rezoned to Forest Agricultural, the major review will be done during the site plan review process. The developer will be required to submit subdivision plats to the Commission for approval prior to any development.

Mr. Milburn stated that in order to further evaluate this request, the following matters were considered in developing staff's recommendation:

- **Whether the zoning proposal will permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby property;**

Yes, residential development to the west and east.

- **Whether the zoning proposal will adversely affect the existing use or usability of adjacent or nearby property;**

No, the existing Comprehensive Plan envisions this island as low-density residential including adjacent property.

- **Whether the property to be affected by the zoning proposal has a reasonable economic use as currently zoned;**

The current industrial zoning is not in demand, especially that amount of acreage.

- **Whether the zoning proposal will result in a use which will or could cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities, utilities, or schools;**

Not at the density of two residential units per acre.

- **Whether the zoning proposal is in conformance with the policy and intent of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan;**

Yes, low-density residential.

- **Whether there are other existing or changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for approval or disapproval.**

No.

Mr. Milburn stated that staff recommends approval of this request.

Mr. Altman wanted to know if Forest Agricultural is considered a residential zoning. Mrs. Taylor replied yes. Mr. Hart asked if the applicant is required to submit a preliminary plat. Mrs. Taylor replied yes.

Following discussion, a motion was made by Mr. Hal Hart to recommend approval of this request. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Iris Touw and unanimously adopted.

STAFF ITEMS

a) Special Use Permits

Mr. Milburn stated that the Board of Commissioners requested the Planning Commission to review the language of Special Use Permits for mobile homes with documented hardships. He stated that language is needed to indicate the different types of hardships. Also, the length of time a permit is allowed should be reviewed. According to the ordinance, a hardship permit is allowed on a two-year basis with a two-year extension at the County Commissioners discretion if the hardship continues to exist. Some Commissioners feel that the Board should have more latitude as to the length of time a hardship permit is allowed.

Mr. Milburn presented a draft copy of two alternatives for the Planning Commission's review and consideration in making a recommendation.

Mrs. Touw stated that hardships need to be defined as medical. She also feels that the two-year period should remain as stated in the ordinance. Mrs. Touw stated that she is against making this "wide open."

Mr. Williams pointed out that medical hardships seem to be a problem for everyone. He stated that because we have to rely on the medical profession, perhaps we should

get input from physicians when we amend this section of the ordinance.

Mr. Milburn stated that the Planning Commission needs to make a recommendation to the Board as to this section being stricter or less strict. He stated that it is easy to get a medical opinion about someone who is terminally ill as opposed to someone with an injured knee.

Ms. Elise Permar, The Islander, stated that the County Commissioners were concerned about applicants not returning for an extension and the mobile homes are not being removed. Mr. Milburn advised that applicants have been notified that they are in violation of the ordinance; one has since applied for an extension.

Following discussion, a motion was made by Mrs. Iris Touw advising staff to work on this section and bring back a recommendation to be discussed at the November 4th Planning Commission meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lamar Cole and unanimously adopted.

b) Review Sign Ordinance

Mr. Hart advised that the Sign Committee met on Monday, October 6, 1997 and concluded that the County Attorney's assistance was needed to interpret some of the laws. He stated that the committee could not proceed without legal advice. Therefore, a motion was made by Mr. Hart recommending that the County Attorney (or his assistant) review the Georgia Supreme Court ruling, Union City Board of Zoning Appeals vs. Justice Outdoor Display, Inc. decided March 11, 1996, as it relates to our current Sign Ordinance. The motion was seconded by Mr. Jonathan Williams and unanimously adopted.

c) Fluttering Ribbons

A motion was made by Mr. Hal Hart instructing staff to study the amendment to Section 803.5.3 regarding Fluttering Ribbons & Banners in a Commercial Core District and other related sections and bring back for the Planning Commission's review. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Iris Touw and unanimously adopted.

d) Height Restrictions

A motion was made by Mrs. Iris Touw recommending staff to review height restrictions county-wide and bring this back for discussion at the December 2, 1997 Planning Commission meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lamar Cole and unanimously adopted.

It was the consensus of the Planning Commission to have a work session on Tuesday, October 14, 1997, 9:00 a.m. in Room 234 of the Office Park Building to further discuss the Special Use Permits, Height Restrictions, and the proposed draft Commercial Lighting and Landscaping Amendment.

MINUTES

Upon a motion made by Mrs. Iris Touw and seconded by Mr. Lamar Cole, the Minutes of the August 5, 1997 Planning Commission meeting were approved and unanimously adopted.

Ms. Jo Hickson, vice president of the Coastal Georgia Land Trust, gave a brief overview of projects funded in 1991, and elaborated on the availability of a grant that could be used for the Brunswick/Altamaha Canal Trail. Ms. Hickson stated that the organization is trying to raise \$195,000 in matching funds to provide the design and construction of the trail. She stated that she would continue to work with Glynn County staff to meet the deadline date of November 13, 1997.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 11:45 a.m.