

**ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DRAINAGE BASIN CONCEPT PLANNING
RFP# 01124**

PITTMAN ENGINEERING					
Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Average
Company Experience - Detailed information relative to Proposer's general qualifications as well as qualifications specific to this project; past performance record on similar work, corporate history and team organization.	39	35.1	27.3	31.2	31.2
Project Understanding - Provision of adequate, specific, information regarding the Propser's practical approach to this project. Such information shall include, but not be limited to: Specific practical approach information and Personnel experience-general as well as specific qualifications and experience of individuals.	39	35.1	23.4	31.2	29.9
Cost Proposal - The submitted cost proposal must include all costs of performing pursuant to the resulting contract.	20	20	20	20	20
Local Preference	2	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100	90.2	70.7	82.4	81.1
Proposer's Score	<u>81.1</u>				
THOMAS & HUTTON					
Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Average
Company Experience - Detailed information relative to Proposer's general qualifications as well as qualifications specific to this project; past performance record on similar work, corporate history and team organization.	39	35.1	35.1	31.2	33.8
Project Understanding - Provision of adequate, specific, information regarding the Propser's practical approach to this project. Such information shall include, but not be limited to: Specific practical approach information and Personnel experience-general as well as specific qualifications and experience of individuals.	39	31.2	35.1	31.2	32.5
Cost Proposal - The submitted cost proposal must include all costs of performing pursuant to the resulting contract.	20	11	11	11	11
Local Preference	2	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100	77.3	81.2	73.4	77.3
Proposer's Score	<u>77.3</u>				
ATM, INC					
Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Average
Company Experience - Detailed information relative to Proposer's general qualifications as well as qualifications specific to this project; past performance record on similar work, corporate history and team organization.	39	27.3	31.2	31.2	29.9
Project Understanding - Provision of adequate, specific, information regarding the Propser's practical approach to this project. Such information shall include, but not be limited to: Specific practical approach information and Personnel experience-general as well as specific qualifications and experience of individuals.	39	15.6	27.3	35.1	26
Cost Proposal - The submitted cost proposal must include all costs of performing pursuant to the resulting contract.	20	9	9	9	9
Local Preference	2	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100	51.9	67.5	75.3	64.9
Proposer's Score	<u>64.9</u>				

EMC ENGINEERING					
Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Average
Company Experience - Detailed information relative to Proposer's general qualifications as well as qualifications specific to this project; past performance record on similar work, corporate history and team organization.	39	27.3	31.2	27.3	28.6
Project Understanding - Provision of adequate, specific, information regarding the Propser's practical approach to this project. Such information shall include, but not be limited to: Specific practical approach information and Personnel experience-general as well as specific qualifications and experience of individuals.	39	19.5	27.3	11.7	19.5
Cost Proposal - The submitted cost proposal must include all costs of performing pursuant to the resulting contract.	20	14	14	14	14
Local Preference	2	2	2	2	2
TOTAL	100	62.8	74.5	55	64.1
Proposer's Score	64.1				

GWES, LLC					
Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Average
Company Experience - Detailed information relative to Proposer's general qualifications as well as qualifications specific to this project; past performance record on similar work, corporate history and team organization.	39	27.3	23.4	23.4	24.7
Project Understanding - Provision of adequate, specific, information regarding the Propser's practical approach to this project. Such information shall include, but not be limited to: Specific practical approach information and Personnel experience-general as well as specific qualifications and experience of individuals.	39	27.3	27.3	15.6	23.4
Cost Proposal - The submitted cost proposal must include all costs of performing pursuant to the resulting contract.	20	10	10	10	10
Local Preference	2	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100	64.6	60.7	49	58.1
Proposer's Score	58.1				

EXP US Services, Inc.					
Evaluation Criteria	Max Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Average
Company Experience - Detailed information relative to Proposer's general qualifications as well as qualifications specific to this project; past performance record on similar work, corporate history and team organization.	39	11.7	23.4	31.2	22.1
Project Understanding - Provision of adequate, specific, information regarding the Propser's practical approach to this project. Such information shall include, but not be limited to: Specific practical approach information and Personnel experience-general as well as specific qualifications and experience of individuals.	39	11.7	19.5	27.3	19.5
Cost Proposal - The submitted cost proposal must include all costs of performing pursuant to the resulting contract.	20	4	4	4	4
Local Preference	2	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	100	27.4	46.9	62.5	45.6
Proposer's Score	45.6				

* Awarded to Pittman Engineering pending BOC approval

ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DRAINAGE BASIN CONCEPT PLANNING

RFP# 01124

COST EVALUATION				
Vendor	Cost	High/Low Variance	Max Points	Score
Pittman Engineering	\$ 35,550.00	1.00	20	20
EMC Engineering	\$ 50,000.00	0.71	20	14
Thomas & Hutton	\$ 64,675.00	0.55	20	11
GWES, Inc.	\$ 72,000.00	0.49	20	10
ATM, Inc.	\$ 75,150.00	0.47	20	9
Exp US Services, Inc.	\$ 167,320.00	0.21	20	4

